



BILLET D'ETAT FOR 20-06-2007

Date: 19th June, 2007

Members of the States:

I have the honour to inform you that the Meeting of the States will be held at 5:30pm on Wednesday 20th June 2007. This will be preceded by the People's Meeting, which will be held on Wednesday 13th June 2007 at 7:00 p.m. in the Anne French Room, Island Hall.

Sir Norman Browse

President

Item I Chairman of Building and Development Control Committee

Following the resignation of Mr Chris Main, we need to appoint a Chairman of the Building and Development Control Committee.

The States is therefore invited to appoint a Chairman of the Building and Development Control Committee.

Item II Building and Development Control Law

The following letter has been received from Miss Benfield, Deputy Chairman Building and Development Control Committee:-

"Over the past seventeen months the Building and Development Control Committee has been reviewing the Building and Development Control (Alderney) Law 2002. Detailed discussions have taken place with the Law Officers about the implications and implementation of the proposals put forward by the Committee. In some cases the Committee has been advised of the legal difficulties of putting into practice the wishes of the Committee. This has given rise to further debate and redrafting which is ongoing. The Committee is aware of the costs and inconvenience that will be caused to individuals if there is further delay in removing the effective freeze on the allocation of new "C" Permits under the existing BDC legislation. Accordingly the Committee has decided to bring forward a number of proposed changes to the legislation, without further delay, pending the finalisation of other changes that are still being reviewed by the Law Officers. It is anticipated that the remaining changes to the legislation will be brought before the States later in the year.

The proposed changes are contained in the attached Ordinance. The main changes are summarised below:

Section affected	Description of Change	Reason for Change
4	Inclusion of additional offence of causing or permitting cutting down or destruction of trees	To avoid owners of trees subcontracting destruction of trees. Owners are now liable for destruction
5	Inclusion of clauses allowing for further public consultation on any planning application. Inclusion in Law of site pole requirements.	This allows the Committee to initiate further public consultation if it thinks it appropriate on any application. Site pole requirements are now explicitly referred to in the Law.
14	Repeal of Section relating to self catering tourist accommodation	The committee believes that circumstances that gave rise to the original self catering building legislation are no longer relevant. The repeal of the relevant section will make for better use of the existing housing stock. As a result some owners may receive windfall gains. The Committee has considered what transitional provisions might be appropriate to share in these gains but on balance has decided against introducing such measures. The States itself will benefit by increased Conge on sales.
27	Extending eligibility of those persons entitled to speak at Land Use Plan enquiries and allowing written representations to be made.	At present persons wishing to make representations at a Land Use Plan enquiry may do so either in person or be represented by an advocate or other descriptions of persons prescribed by ordinance. In future any person can be represented by any other person they choose. Also in future written representations will be admissible.
33	Change residency requirements for "C" permit applicants from 10 years in any 15 year period to 16 years in any 20 year period.	The purpose of this change is to benefit those with longer association with the Island and in particular those people born on the Island.

Miss B Benfield

Deputy Chairman"

The States is asked to approve of the proposed changes to "The Building and Development Control (Alderney) (Amendment) Ordinance, 2007".

Item III Waste Strategy

The following letter has been received from Mr Walden, Chairman of the General Services Committee:

"At the States Meeting held on 10/01/07, Billet Item XIV, Incinerator Requete, it was resolved to agree:

"To direct the GSC to seek a costed evaluation of all available options including those involving other suppliers of equipment and other waste reduction, recycling or disposal options not yet considered.

To direct the GSC to report to the States with proposals for a waste strategy, which may or may not include those approved on 22/11/06, no later than the June 2007 meeting of the States."

At it's first meeting of 2007 held on 16/01/07, the GSC resolved to form a Waste Advisory Group [WAG] charged with submitting proposals to the GSC for approval at it's meeting on 15/05/07 to satisfy the resolutions noted above. WAG proposed two options offering financial savings over the present system of shipping Alderney's Municipal Solid Waste [MSW] to Guernsey for disposal at Mont Cuet.

The preferred option was a Mechanical Heat Treatment [MHT] plant installed and operated by Global Environmental & Heritage Services Limited [GEHS] of Jersey. The key features of their proposal are as follows:

At the heart of the MHT process is a high pressure steam autoclave which reduces cellulosic materials [e.g. paper, foodstuffs, wood] to a "fluff", and plastics to "blobs", whilst sterilising and cleaning items such as cans etc for recycling. The first MHT demonstration plant using this technology for processing MSW was commissioned in the US in 1992. In Europe a new company Estech was formed by a merger of the original company and another. A 4 tonne per hour demonstration plant was built in 2001. A 25,000 tonne per annum plant followed in 2002 and a 5,000 tonne per annum mobile demonstrator plant was built in 2003. The latter plant has been seen by States of Alderney personnel. All MHT plants referred to thus far are batch operating.

In 2002 a 1 to 2 tonne per hour continuous autoclave process for MSW built by a second US company was assessed by the US military for their use. Continuous plants are operating in the US including the US military. It is a continuous autoclave of this size built by a major US machinery manufacturer that would be at the centre of the proposed GEHS operations on Alderney. The entire plant would be installed by GEHS at their cost with an appropriate contract covering the site, buildings, installation of equipment and an insurance bond to cover the dismantling of the plant should it fail to perform satisfactorily. Thus there would be no financial risk to, or capital requirement from, the States of Alderney. The operation would be run and managed by GEHS employed staff as an Alderney based company. It is expected that most staff would be recruited locally.

The GEHS MHT process claims 100% recycling, any insufficiently processed material being fed back into the continuous process so that there are no residues. GEHS undertake to remove all materials arising from the process from Alderney as recyclables e.g. cans or as cellulosic fluff and plastic blobs for processing into plastic wood-replacement products such as park benches, tables, borders, decking, pallets, fencing, bollards, stakes, marine cladding, and sign posts plus materials for landscaping such as soil improvement, aeration, moisture retention, stabilisation, erosion control, reclamation, weed abatement, decorative cover and a horticulture growing medium.

No source separation would be required of Alderney residents although a facility like the present recycling centre would be provided by GEHS to enable residents to continue with their excellent recycling performance.

Other than an oil fired steam generator and electricity generator, the GEHS process has no harmful discharges or emissions for nothing is burnt in the process which steam sterilises the MSW. The categories of waste to be processed have been agreed. See appendices 1 and 2.

The whole operation would be a reference plant for GEHS to demonstrate a "turn-key" process to future

customer's world wide and to train their operators. It would put Alderney onto the environmental map as one of the greenest places in the world. As well as attracting visitors and hence additional business to Alderney, GEHS would provide a visitor centre so that the operations can be safely viewed. Business visitors would provide useful out of season trade to hotels, airlines etc.

The entire plant would be enclosed in an environmentally designed and sound proofed building to fit sympathetically with it's location which is proposed to be a 7000sqm site at Mannez Quarry subject to a public enquiry under the Land Use Plan provisions.

The site would be on a 99 year lease at a peppercorn rent tied to the continuation of the contract for processing Alderney's MSW.

GEHS would charge a gate price of £135/tonne, fixed for 15 years, for waste delivered to their facility subject to a minimum payment of £222,750 per annum.

This equates to 1650 tonnes, the tonnage of agreed waste arisings on Alderney in the year to end March 2007. Together with the proceeds from selling the recycled materials, the GEHS Business Plan at the minimum payment level of £222,750 would operate at break even. For a small reference plant this is acceptable to GEHS. In practice, small industrial reference plants are usually heavily subsidised and so the plan offers good value to GEHS. Hence the attractive gate price to the States of Alderney and the fact that GEHS will pay all the set up capital costs.

The minimum annual payment represents a saving of not less than £100,000 per year to the States of Alderney compared to the current waste processing procedures. If tonnages increase, then clearly a payment for each extra tonne of £135 will be required. However sending those extra tonnes by the present route to Mont Cuet, less recyclates of paper, cardboard, plastic, cans and glass, will cost £179 per tonne from 1/07/07 and Guernsey have indicated gate prices will continue to rise. The GEHS plant would have a weighbridge so that the States would know each month how much material had been delivered. This could be published in the Journal and elsewhere so that the residents could see directly the result of their Reduce/Reuse efforts.

The financial risk is entirely with GEHS . They would take out a bond to remove the operation in the event that it was not satisfactory.

Should the GEHS MHT proposal fail to materialise for any reason, WAG have made a back-up proposal to avoid further delays in implementing Alderney's waste strategy.

The back-up proposal is for incineration. Other alternatives such as Alderney buying and operating an MHT plant or a Mechanical Biological Treatment [MBT] process were rejected because the processing plants that deal with MSW were far too large and the operator, i.e. States of Alderney, would be left with the problem of finding outlets for the end products and a low level of residue requiring land-fill.

This incinerator proposal differs from that presented to the States in November 2006, which was for a 700kg/hour machine; because the capital cost for that submission had risen from the £1.47M used in the Ramboll costings of November 2005 to justify the scheme, to £1.85M. The resulting increase of ~£25 per tonne could not be offset by the notified increase in Mont Cuet gate charge of an extra £14 per tonne from 1/07/07.

As a result, no savings could be demonstrated in the first few years of operation.

Thus the task for WAG was to find a lower cost incineration alternative to that proposed in November 2006 This proposal, which would have to go out to tender in order to verify the budget figures obtained, is as follows:

A small, one man operated, EU Waste Incinerator Directive [2000] compliant unit, not burning combustible recyclates and located where a large amount of heat energy generated could, in the future, be used for heating and hot water. Such a location would be York Hill quarry, a "non-visible" but central location where the Hospital, School and eventual Community Centre could benefit from the "free" energy and the quarry used as a source of cooling water.

As combustible recyclates would continue to be recycled and would not be incinerated, then using the latest figures [12 months to end March 2007] the tonnage for incineration would be 1116 tonnes compared to 1460 tonnes. The ~200 tonnes of glass and cans have been excluded as these would be recycled under any scheme. The reduction in net calorific value [NCV] of the MSW resulting from separating out the combustible recyclates would increase the throughput of the incinerator by reducing the heat load. A 350kg per hour, one operator, incinerator working two shifts [16 hours] could produce hot water and heating for 14 hours a day assuming a 1 hour start-up and shut-down period. With a second operator at the plastic/paper/cardboard recycling centre co-located on the same site also working two shifts, two people would be on site for all operation periods, 16 manned hours would also reduce the risk of vandalism. Such a machine and lower site costs could reduce the capital cost to around £900,000. Using the Ramboll costings this would reduce the financing cost by ~£90,000 per annum. Continuing recycling of plastic/paper/cardboard would cost ~£50,000 per year. The extra labour of running two shifts [2 operators] vs the 1½ operators on one shift on the November 2006 machine, would cost ~£15,000 each year. The net saving of ~£25,000 per annum would make this option less costly than the Mont Cuet route. In the long run a new compactor and site works would be required in 2010 adding £25,000 per annum to the Mont Cuet route costs as well as gate charge increases. There would also be gains from using the energy derived from the machine.

According to Enviros, Defra and the Health Protection Agency, EU Waste Incinerator Directive [WID] 2000 compliance, mandatory for all incinerators from 31 December 2005, will ensure that "the risks to human health from incineration are small in comparison with other known risks"; e.g. vehicles. However, it is likely that the WID will be amended in the future. This would mean fitting an improved emission abatement system. It would cost significantly less to upgrade a 350kg/hour incinerator than a 700kg/hour machine, particularly if it is of modular construction.

Appendix 1

" A " List being those arisings that the plant deals with :

- 1 . Paper - Cellulosics including card and board -Plant to deal -OK
2. Green waste - the plant will deal with all dry friable branchage -OK
3. Wood - pallets, timber, furniture, clean scrap construction waste -plant to deal- OK
- 4 . Plastics - plant to deal -OK
5. Reusable Goods - Once having been discarded, these will not then be re-used, but processed in the ordinary way. Our plant will deal with all these small domestic appliances such as kettles and toasters via the friables line. - OK
6. Putrescibles - plant to deal -OK
- 7 . Glass -plant to deal - OK
8. Ceramics, etc. - household section. - plant to deal - OK
9. Metals - Collected with MSW collection - plant to deal - OK
10. Soils - OK (but should go to composting)
11. Textiles (non-recyclable) - plant to deal - OK
12. Chemicals- Household residues in discarded domestic containers -OK
Used cooking oil. Small quantities of used oils can be "drizzled" through the plant, by a special oil mist venturi. This will be sterilised and separated out in the water recovery plant. The result will be clean re-usable oil. To achieve this, the water plant will have additional oil separation and clarification capability.
13. Tyres - given the small arisings, an additional primary low-volume tyre shredder with in- feeder, preceded by a de-bearer will be provided at the front end. -OK
- 14a. WEEE - No. Continue with present disposal to Guernsey Recycling.
- 14b. WEEE - Plant can accept small non-hazardous items which are de-gassed -OK

15. Carcasses - Continue to dispose of by incineration in the existing air curtain burner- primed by some construction timber. No.
16. Sewage sludge/coarse screening - If required later, we will discuss implementation, subject to odour considerations that need detailed discussion. No, for now.
- 17/18. Medical waste and Biohazards -continue with present route -Disposal of to Guernsey for incineration at hospital incinerator. - No
19. Fuel, Paint, etc. - Minor domestic residues only -not full containers - OK
20. Batteries - No. continue with present system of recycling through Guernsey -Actively encourage disposal of smaller batteries/cells at point of sale. - No
21. Bulky items, for example, furniture - plant to deal, Larger primary shredder will be included, with suppression hood. - OK
22. Construction waste -This is to be out-sorted to inert landfill as 8. The plant will have machinery capable of some reducing of this if required. - OK
23. Hazardous materials -small quantities only will be accepted, i.e. residues of 0.5 L containers. Otherwise, deal with the arisings as paints, etc. as present - OK
24. ELVS -The plant can deal with de-polluted residue only if part-crushed or disassembled. - OK

Appendix 2

"B" list -Exclusions Proposed:

2. Green waste -The plant does not like grass cuttings and wet leaves that should be composted. This material clogs the shredders, and causes slipping.
8. Ceramics , etc. - Construction waste residue will go to the new inert landfill to be constructed, if not put through the G-EMS plant.
10. Soils -Go to the Inert landfill as 8
12. Chemicals -these where separated, will be dealt with externally
14. WEEE - Continue with present disposal to Guernsey Recycling.
15. Carcasses - Continue to dispose of by incineration in the existing air curtain burner - primed by some waste construction timber.
16. Sewage sludge/coarse screening - If required will implement separate disposal route
- 17/18. Medical waste and Biohazards -continue with present route - Disposal to Guernsey for incineration at hospital incinerator.
19. Fuel, Paint, etc . - Continue with present disposal route.
20. Batteries - continue with present system of recycling through Guernsey -Actively encourage disposal of smaller batteries/cells at point of sale.
22. Construction waste - to be sorted and sent to the inert landfill as 8.
24. ELVS - continue with present recycling to Guernsey.

I should therefore be grateful if you would place this matter before the States with the appropriate proposition.

Mr W Walden
Chairman"

The States is asked to resolve that:

1. That the States of Alderney negotiate a contract and associated lease with GEHS to process Alderney's waste arisings as defined in the "A" list [see appendix 1 to the Chairman of the General Services Committee's letter to the President dated 5th June 2007], at a gate price of £135 per tonne fixed for 15 years where GEHS provide the capital for the plant and equipment, take full responsibility for removal of all materials arising from the process from the island and provide a bond to finance the removal of the said plant should it fail to meet expectations.
2. That should proposal 1 fail to be implemented for whatever reason, to prepare a new tender process for

incineration to meet a lower cost target compared with the present processes for dealing with Alderney's waste arisings.

3. If the GEHS proposal is approved and implemented and then fails to deliver the accepted performance, then if a time period of more than two years has elapsed, a re-examination of how alternative technology and the Guernsey position have developed should be made.

Item IV Island Plan

The following letter has been received from Mr Willmott, Chairman of the Policy and Finance Committee: "In November 2006 Phase 1 of the Island Plan was presented to the States and was noted. The Plan summarised a list of recommended workstreams which were based on the more detailed recommendations of the Plan. The Plan suggested that one of the first steps would be to develop a plan for the implementation of the Plan. The Chairmen of the three main committees have met to discuss the Plan and put forward proposals to the May Policy and Finance Committee meeting. The Committee discussed these recommendations and resolved to pursue them in the manner outlined below.

Much work has already taken place this year, notably the completion of the work requested of General Services Committee regarding the Island's waste strategy contained in this Billet. Other work underway embraces suggested workstreams contained in the Island Plan such as the capital expenditure prioritisation agreed at the May 2007 States meeting. The status of all recommendations is contained in the table below. More work needs to be done in prioritising legislation and it is hoped that an update of the status of the Island Plan will be an integral part of this year's annual reports.

The Island Plan did not refer to a proposed smoking ban. Consideration of the progress of the plan would not now be complete without including comment on the proposed smoking ban. It is anticipated that the enabling legislation for a ban on smoking in public places will be in place by this Autumn. Public presentations and discussion will take place before then. It is likely that details of timing and scope of the ban will be announced before the end of the year and that at least six months notice will be given prior to a ban becoming enforced.

As was noted in the Island Plan, Alderney has very limited resources available to it for implementing new initiatives and it is most important that those resources are used wisely. The States also needs to be mindful of not attempting to do too much at once and to keep matters as simple as possible. With this in mind the Policy and Finance committee agreed the following at its May meeting:

1. Housing/Migration/ Population

Â§ To continue with a review of the work permit system.

Â§ To commission a Housing Needs Survey for completion by December 2007. It also agreed to the appointment of consultants to assist and advise at an estimated cost of £8,000 plus expenses.

Â§ In parallel with the Housing Needs survey to continue investigating the possibility of a Housing Association and other shared equity arrangements and to review the possibility of a new Banquage type Scheme .

2. Relationship with Guernsey

It was agreed that the Finance Advisory Group be charged with reviewing the relationship with Guernsey and reporting back to Policy and Finance Committee with recommendations.

3. Transport link

It was agreed that a working party be set up by General Services Committee to review transport links. As a first step it was to consider what the shape of a new shipping contract might be.

4. Marina

It was agreed that a working group be set up to establish, in the first instance, what the outline of a marina

project might be and to qualify certain elements in or out. The next stage would be to engage the law officers in framing documents inviting expressions of interest.

5. Environmental Charter

It was agreed that General Services Committee develop an Environmental Charter along the lines outlined in the Island Plan.

	Status	Next Steps	Estimated Completion
1 Population and Immigration			
1.1 The Island should aim to maintain population at between current levels and 2,700	Noted		
1.2 A review of the work permit system should be undertaken	Underway. Revised policy regarding certain categories of applicants to be published June 2007	Discussions of shared experiences with Guernsey	December 2007 presentation of draft proposals to States
2 The Economy			
2.1 Building Industry			
2.1.1 A more rigorous review of work permits for visiting contractors should be carried out	Underway		December 2007
2.1.2 The States should actively plan the timing of major contracts with local builders to avoid overheating the local building economy and to even out the flow of work	No action yet		
2.2 eCommerce			
The States Tourism and Marketing Department should commission a series of case studies, for promotional purposes, of how new eCommerce businesses and remote home workers are successfully operating from Alderney	No action yet		
2.3 eGambling			

2.3.1 A plan for the use of Gambling Commission surpluses for capital projects should be debated and published as a matter of urgency	Partially completed. Category A projects prioritized. Agreed to appointment of project manager.	Publication of complete capital expenditure plan for next five years.	September 2007
2.3.2 The Policy and Finance Committee should appoint at least one local commissioner, and preferably two, out of the four commissioners, if local expertise of the right kind proves to be available	One Island based Commissioner appointed February 2007.		
2.3.3 The States should as a matter of urgency agree its position and approach to new eGambling employment opportunities on Alderney and its plan for continued promotion of the industry.	Initiative to promote more jobs to be based in Alderney underway.	Consultations with Guernsey ongoing.	
2.3.4 A review be undertaken of reporting lines between the Commission and the States.	Implemented. Revised quarterly reporting agreed.		
2.4 Tourism			
2.4.1 An Economic Impact Assessment should be carried out before large tourist or other commercial developments are approved	No action yet		
2.4.2 The viability of a marina project should be re-considered.	Working group established	Agree outline of possibilities.	Draft proposals February 2008
2.5 Alderney Electricity Ltd			
2.5.1 Dialogue between States and Board of AEL should be improved.	Meetings with Finance Advisory Group agreed to by AEL and planned.		
2.5.2 Regular meetings between the Commission for Renewable Energy, AEL and the States should take place to ensure there is a coordinated approach towards renewable energy sources and that the ramifications of any decisions are fully understood by all concerned	Meetings between ACRE and ARE and AEL already held. Meetings with States planned.		

3 Housing

3.1 "C" Permits

The States should continue the review of the "C" Permit system

Initial proposals in June Billet

3.2 "D" Permits

The States should continue with consideration of "D" permits to allow sub division of properties

Underway

Continue discussions with Law officers as to feasibility

December 2007

3.3 Taxation on second homes

It should initiate a study into how taxation of second homes, both at point of sale and annually, could be introduced.

No action yet

3.4 Shared Equity schemes

A review of shared equity schemes should be also be undertaken

Being reviewed alongside housing association investigation

3.5 Housing Needs survey

A housing needs survey should be carried out

Survey commissioned

Joint programme of work between States and appointed consultants

December 2007

3.6 Other housing assistance

Alternative ways in which C permit holders and key workers might be assisted with housing needs should be explored

No action yet

3.7 Second Home Ownership

The States should have a better understanding of the second home situation and the pattern of ownership of Island properties. It is recommended that it gathers up-to-date data on second homes and considers changes that it might introduce to improve the availability of property ownership data

Being considered as part of housing needs survey

December 2007

4 States Income and Expenditures

4.1 Funding and deficits

The Policy and Finance Committee should, as a matter of urgency, review all alternative options to funding the anticipated forthcoming deficits in order to avoid above inflationary rises in occupiers' rates. These options might include differential levels of occupiers' rates for non-residents and a pay-as-you-throw waste charging policy. As part of such a review it is also recommended that the States engage in discussions with Treasury and Resources in Guernsey.

Meeting with Treasury and Resources already held with Finance and Advisory Group. Regular Meetings planned. No action yet on occupiers' rates.

4.2 States Pensions

It is recommended that all Members of Policy and Finance Committee (not just the fund Trustees) be briefed as a matter of urgency on the options facing the Fund and the cost implications of each. Following this it is recommended that they enter into early discussions with Guernsey

Awaiting latest report

4.3 Capital Expenditure

It is recommended that a subcommittee representing all committees be formed to oversee capital projects, that it is tasked with prioritising planned projects and that project management of these be separately contracted and funded by gambling money

First stage completed. Priorities agreed. Appointment of project manager agreed.

5 Transferred Services & Breakwater

5.1 Reinstatement of joint advisory council

It is recommended that the equivalent to the arrangements for a joint advisory council proposed in 1995 be reinstated

No action yet

5.2 Education and Health

The States of Alderney should consult with the Education and the Health and Social Service Departments in order to fully define the needs of families in Alderney. It is also suggested that the provision of opportunities for training are explored so that facilities can be established that are appropriately run, with qualified workers that cater for pre-school education and childcare

Meetings with Education scheduled

Proposals to be put before Policy and Finance in July 2007

5.3 Airport

An independent assessment should be carried out into the viability and cost of Alderney airport being separately managed

Completed

Presentation to Policy and Finance in June 2007 Meeting with Guernsey July 2007.

5.4 Breakwater

It is recommended that Alderney works with and supports Guernsey's Public Service Department:

One meeting held

Continue discussions.

- To continue with the existing maintenance of the superstructure
- To survey the substructure and carry out risk analysis studies on the structure

To be in a position to effect repairs to the Breakwater, in the event of a breach, without recourse to the States, for repairs up to a cost of £3m

6 Transport

A study should be undertaken to ascertain both the viability of the States either owning or leasing out a vessel to improve sea communications to the Island, and the viability of possible routes

Transport Links working group set up.

December 2007 and beyond

7 Environment

7.1 Environmental Charter

The States should adopt an environmental charter and support the charter with the development of policy documents at both Committee and States level

Agreed to be actioned by GSC

March 2008

7.2 Sustainability Audit

A sustainability audit should be carried out in conjunction with the EU Star City project teams

No action yet

7.3 Sewage treatment

The States should commit to provide at least primary treatment of all sewage, preferably by reed bed or similar "natural" methods within the next five years.

No action yet

7.4 Wildlife and Habitat Protection

The States should consider how it might facilitate:

No action yet

- The introduction of a comprehensive Wildlife Law,
- An environmental records centre
- The creation of management plans for key sites around Alderney
- Habitat and species action plans to ensure Alderney's biodiversity is maintained

7.5 Land management

A review of non-developable States land should be carried out by the GSC to identify lands suitable for tenancy, or for management improvement by the Alderney Wildlife Trust or States Agricultural team under management plans, or simply for abandonment to natural succession

No action yet

8 Constitution

It is recommended the review of the Government of Alderney Law and existing Committee arrangements continues including:
Consideration of an Executive Council made up of all States Members
The holding of general elections every four years
A review of the procedure for nominating Alderney representatives to the States of Guernsey

Review of Government of Alderney Law completed by sub committee. Change to make up of Policy and Finance committee implemented January 2007.

Review by Policy and Finance June 2007. Proposals to States October 2007

New Law
June 2008

The States is asked to note the progress of those recommendations contained in the Island Plan and subsequently agreed to by the Policy and Finance, General Services, and Building and Development Committees and to request further updates on a six monthly basis.

Barbara Benfield Deputy Chairman Building and Development Committee

Bill Walden Chairman General Services Committee

Richard Willmott Chairman Policy and Finance Committee

Mr R Willmott

Chairman"

The States is asked to note the progress of those recommendations contained in the Island Plan and subsequently agreed to by the Policy and Finance, General Services, and Building and Development Committees and to request further updates on a six monthly basis.

Item V Questions and Reports

Issued Friday 8th June 2007
